-() -//: //:

(:)

Email: sharepour@yahoo.com

A Study about the Factors Affecting People's Trust to Police

(case study: Mazandaran province)

M. Sharepour, Associate professor, Department of Social Sciences, Mazandaran University

Abstract

The characteristics of modern society have produced new functions for trust. Given the existing concerns

for maintaing social order and control in modern society, people's trust to police has gained a high

significance and importance. Two general perspectives exist to explain the formation of trust. According to

society-centered approach, trust expands as people's participation in formal and informal netwoks increases.

In contrast, institution-centerd approach argues that the institutions that are

central for the creating and maintaining trust are the policy-implementing institutions, that is, public service

systems. The present paper seeks to compare these two perspectives with regard to people's trust to police. In

doing so, it employed survey method with 7949 respondents from rural and urban areas in Mazandaran

province. The multi-satge clustered sampling method was used. The findings showed that for the explanation

of people's trust to police, social factors, in particular, the quality of government is more effective than the

background variables and even participation in voluntary associations. Thus it can be argued that people's

attitudes towards government agents and the performance of public organizations have a strong influence on

their evaluation of police trustworthy.

Keywords: Trust, generalized trust, social capital, social exclusion, voluntary associations.

1. Luhmann

```
.( :
```

3. Social Complexity

4 . Gambetta

1. Miztel

2 . Social Differentiation

.(2. Interpersonal Trust

^{3.} Social Trust 4. Civic Trust

.(

. .(:)

: .

. (:)

2 . Government corruption3. Social exclusion

) .(: .(:) .(.(:

^{1.} Survey 2 . Representative

- - -

; ;

:) (...

 $n = \frac{Nt^2pq}{t^2pq + Nd^2} \tag{}$

.

.

```
)
                                                                                                                .(
3 . Content validity4 . Face validity5 . Reliability
```

1 . Close-ended 2 . Open-ended

ضریب آلفای کرانباخ	تعداد گويهها	مفاهيم	ردیف
•/^•	۴	اعتماد تعميم يافته	1
•/٧٣	٣	اعتماد غيررسمي	۲
•/8٨	۶	معامله به مثل	٣
٠/٨٣	٣	احساس امنيت	۴
•/۶٧	٧	مشاركت اجتماعي رسمي	۵
٠/٧۵	14	مشاركت اجتماعي غيررسمي	۶
•/٧٢	۶	حمايت عاطفي شبكه	٧
•/٧٨	٧	حمایت مادی شبکه	٨
•/٧١	۶	نگرش نسبت به عملکرد دستگاه های اجرایی	٩
•/٧۴	۴	اعتماد به نیروی انتظامی	١.

یافته های تحقیق: در جدول زیر میزان اعتماد مردم به پلیس بر حسب طبقه بندی چندگانه ارائه شده است.

درصد تجمعی	درصد	فراواني	میزان اعتماد به پلیس
۶	۶	۴۳۲	اصلاً
۱۸/۶	17/8	917	کم
۵۱/۴	٣٢/٨	۲۳۸۳	تا حدودي
۸٣/٢	٣١/٨	74.1	زياد
١	18/1	1719	كاملاً
	١	٧٢۵٨	جمع

.

مدل رگرسیونی برای پیش بینی اعتماد مردم به پلیس (ضرایب بتا)

مدل چهارم	مدل سوم	مدل دوم	مدل اول	متغيرهاى مستقل
•/•1	•/•۴**	•/•~**	•/•~**	جنسيت
•/•1	•/•1	•/•1	./.~**	سن
-·/· \	-·/· ٣	-·/·Y	_•/• f **	سطح تحصيلات
-•/•1	-·/· \	-·/·Y	-·/· \	وضع تاهل
٠/٠۶**	•/•1	•/•1	_•/•V**	محل سكونت
-·/·∆**	-•/•∧ ^{**}	_•/•V**	_•/• ۶ **	هزينه خانوار
•/•٣*	·/\۶**	·/\۶**	_	احساس امنيت
•/•٢	•/•٣*	·/·△**	-	معامله به مثل
•/•٢	٠/١۵**	٠/١۵**	_	اعتماد غيررسمي
•/•1	•/• ***	•/•۴**	-	اعتماد تعميم يافته
•/•1	•/•1	-	-	حمایت عاطفیٰ و مادی
•/•٢	٠/٠٢	_		مشاركت رسمي
•/•1	·/· \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	_	_	مشاركت غيررسمي
٠/۵۶**	_	_	_	نگرش به دستگاه های دولتی
٠/٣٣	•/11	•/1•	./.۲	R square

; .

 _ _ _ _

·

^{1..}Society-centered approach

^{2.} Institution-centered approach

() . ()

6- Booth, J.A. and Richard, P.B. (2001) Civil Society and Political Context in Central America, In R. Edwards, M.W. Foley, and M. Diani (Eds.) Beyond Tocqueville: Civil Society and the Social Capital Debate in Comparative Perspective, Hanover: Tufts University Press.

7- Frank et al. (2005) Exploring the basis of citizens' attitudes towards the police, Public Quarterly, 8, 206-28. 8- Gambetta, D. (1988) Can We Trust Trust?, In

D.Gambetta (Ed.) Trust: Making and Breaking Cooperative Relations, Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

9- Halpern, D. (2005) Social Capital, Cambridge: Polity Press.

10- Inglehart, R. (1999) Trust, Well-being andDemocracy, In M.E. Warren (Ed.) Democracy andTrust, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.11- Kaariainen, J.T. (2007) Trust in the police in 16

European countries: A multilevel analysis, European Journal of Criminology, 4, 409-435.

12- Knack, S., and Keefer, P. (1997) Does Social Capital Have an Economic Payoff? A Cross-country Investigation, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112, 1251-1288.

13- Levi, M. (1993) Review of Putnam's Making Democracy Work, Comparative Political Studies, 26, 375-387.

14- Levi, M. and Stoker, L. (2000) Political Trust and Trustworthiness, Annual Review of Political Science, 30, 475-508.

: ()

()

()

_ _ _ _

- 23- Sztompka, P. (1999) Trust: A Sociological Theory, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 24- Weingast, B. (1998) Constructing Trust: The Political and Economic Roots of Ethnic and Regional Violence, In V. Haufler, K. Soltan, and E. Uslaner (Eds.) Where is the New Institutionalism Now? Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- 25- Weitzer, R. and Tuch. S.A. (2005) Determinants of public satisfaction with the police, Public Quarterly, 8, 279-97.
- 26- Wohlfarth et al. (2001) The relationship between socio-economic inequality and criminal victimization, Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 36, 361-70.

- 15- Luhmann, N. (1979) Trust and Power, New York: John Wiley.
- 16- Mawby, R.I. (2003) Models in policing, In T.
 Newbourn (ed.) Handbook of Policing, Devon: Willan
 Publishing.
- 17- Newton, K. (2001) Trust, Social Capital, Civil Society, and Democracy, International Political Science Review, 22, 201-214.
- 18- Paxton, P. (2002) Social Capital and Democracy: An Inter-dependent Relationship, American Sociological Review, 67, 254-277.
- 19- Putnam, R.D. (2001) Bowling Alone: The collapse and revival of American community, New York: Touchstone.
- 20- Putnam, R.D. (1993) Making Democracy Work:Civic Traditions in Modern Italy, Princeton, NJ:Princeton University Press.
- 21- Rothstein, B. (2005) Social traps and the problem of trust, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 22- Rothstein, B. and Stolle, D. (2003) Social capital, impartiality and the welfare state: An institutional approach, In M.Hooghe and D. Stolle (eds.) Generating social capital, New York: Macmillan.